

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/090,911	03/05/2002	Hugo Jean Marie Demeyere	. 8449M	6590	
27752	27752 7590 07/22/2005			EXAMINER	
THE PROC	CTER & GAMBLE	HARDEE, JOHN R			
INTELLEC	TUAL PROPERTY	IVISION			
WINTON H	ILL TECHNICAL C	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
6110 CENT	ER HILL AVENUE	1751			
CINCINNATI, OH 45224			DATE MAILED: 07/22/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

a)						
***************************************	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	10/090,911	DEMEYERE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	John R. Hardee	1751					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·	•					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>24 and 30-57</u> is/are pending in the ap	plication.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>24 and 30-57</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>24 and 30-57</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	1						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>07052005</u> .	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/090,911 Page 2

Art Unit: 1751

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. Applicant has submitted approximately **80** references for the examiner's consideration in the Information Disclosure Statement filed July 5, 2005. Of these, numerous references, such as EP 369,500, EP 855,021 and CA 1,321,743, do not appear particularly pertinent to the instant claims. It is unclear why these were cited because they do not appear to be "material to patentability" of the claimed invention (37 CFR 1.56).

MPEP 2004, particularly paragraph (13), sets forth guidelines to aid applicants in their duty of disclosure. In this section it is stated:

"It is desirable to avoid submission of long lists of documents if it can be avoided. Eliminate clearly irrelevant or marginally pertinent cumulative information. If a long list is submitted, highlight those documents, which have been specifically brought to the applicant's attention and/or are known to be of most significance. See *Penn Yan Boats, Inc., v. Sea Lark Boats, Inc., 359 F. Supp. 948, 175 USPQ 260 (S.D. Fla. 1972), aff'd, 479 2d 1388, 178 USPQ 577 (5th Cir. 1973), cert. denied 414 U.S. 874 (1974)."*

The examiner requests that applicant provide a list of the 3-5 most pertinent references and their relevance to the presently claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/090,911

Page 3

Art Unit: 1751

3. Claims 24 and 30-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 99/57259. The reference discloses fabric care compositions comprising a modified cellulase. Surfactant is typically present at a most preferable range of 1% to 30% by weight (p. 18, 2nd para). Cationic softeners are preferred, and include coconut dimethyl hydroxyethyl ammonium chloride, which is disclosed as especially preferred (p 20, bottom). Typical softening components include distearoyloxyethyl dimethyl ammonium chloride (p. 21, 12)). Total cationic content is preferably about 1-8% (p. 23, 2nd para.) Addition of silicone antifoaming agents, perfume and HCl in amounts which meet the limitations of applicant's elected composition are exemplified. Note, in particular Example 15 on p. 78, which discloses a DEQA rinse added fabric softener in combination with a suds suppressor. It is well known in the surfactant art that substantial amounts of monoester are present in commercially available DEQA fabric softening compositions, so applicant's recitation of the addition of a surfactant scavenger does not add patentable weight. The presence of the monoester meets the limitation of about 1:1 to 1.6:1 fatty acid:amine. Note the teaching at the 3rd full paragraph on p. 16 that the compositions may be used for manual treatment of fabrics and as softening treatments after laundering. The examiner takes the position that this passage may be fairly construed as teaching the use of the disclosed compositions for softening by hand after laundering. In any event, applicant's method steps are drawn only to contacting fabric with the recited compositions, and contact is clearly disclosed in the reference. This reference differs from the claimed subject matter in that it does

Application/Control Number: 10/090,911

Art Unit: 1751

not disclose a composition which reads on applicant's elected composition with sufficient specificity to constitute anticipation.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to make such a composition and to use it for hand treatment of fabric after laundering, because this reference teaches that all of the ingredients recited by applicants are suitable for inclusion in a softening composition, that the compositions may be used for manual treatment, and that the compositions may be used for post-laundering treatment. The person of ordinary skill in the surfactant art would expect the recited compositions to have properties similar to those compositions which are exemplified, absent a showing to the contrary.

In the case where the claimed ranges overlap or lie inside ranges disclosed by the prior art, a *prima facie* case of obviousness exists. *In re Wertheim*, 541 F.2d 257, 191 USPQ 90 (CCPA 1976); *In re Woodruff*, 919 F.2d 1575, 16 USPQ2d 1934 (Fed Cir. 1990). Applicant's recitation of 90% suds reduction is drawn to determination of the suds-reducing-effective amount of a disclosed suds reducer, and amounts to routine optimization.

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 1751

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to the examiner, Dr. John R. Hardee, whose telephone number is (571) 272-1318. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 until 4:30. In the event that the examiner is not available, his supervisor, Dr. Yogendra Gupta, may be reached at (571) 272-1316.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8100.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John R. Hardee

Primary Examiner

July 14, 2005